

CAUSE NO. CC-24-08176-D

ROBERT RIDLON,	§	IN THE COUNTY COURT
AS NEXT FRIEND OF D.R., A MINOR	§	
	§	
PLAINTIFF,	§	
	§	
V.	§	AT LAW NO. _____
	§	
FIRST BAPTIST CHURCH OF DALLAS,	§	
RYLAND WHITEHORN, AND ALAN	§	
LYNCH,	§	
	§	
DEFENDANTS.	§	DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

PLAINTIFF’S ORIGINAL PETITION

COMES NOW, Robert Ridlon, as next friend of D.R., a minor, (“Plaintiff”) and for his Plaintiff’s Original Petition complaining of First Baptist Church of Dallas (“First Baptist”), Ryland Whitehorn (“Whitehorn”), and Alan Lynch (“Lynch”) (collectively “Defendants”) states:

DISCOVERY LEVEL

1. Plaintiff intends to conduct discovery under Level 3 of Rule 190 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

ALLEGATIONS REQUIRED UNDER RULE 47

2. The damages sought herein are within the jurisdictional limits of the Court. Plaintiff seeks monetary damages over \$1,000,000.

PARTIES

3. Plaintiff is an individual who resides in Dallas County, Texas and is the father of D.R., a minor who resides with Plaintiff in Dallas County, Texas. Plaintiff brings this action as next friend of D.R.

4. First Baptist is a Texas nonprofit corporation with a principal office located in Dallas County, Texas. First Baptist may be served with citation by serving its registered agent, Martin B. Lavvorn at 1707 San Jacinto Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

5. Whitehorn is an individual resident of Texas whose county of residence is not known. Whitehorn may be served with citation at his business office located at 1707 San Jacinto Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

6. Lynch is an individual resident of Texas whose county of residence is not known. Whitehorn may be served with citation at his business office located at 1707 San Jacinto Street, Dallas, Texas 75201.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

7. This Court has personal jurisdiction over First Baptist because it is a Texas nonprofit corporation with a principal office in Texas and is generally present in Texas for purposes of personal jurisdiction.

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Whitehorn because he is an individual resident of Texas and is generally present in Texas for purposes of personal jurisdiction.

9. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Lynch because he is an individual resident of Texas and is generally present in Texas for purposes of personal jurisdiction.

10. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims asserted herein because the amount in controversy exceeds this Court's minimum jurisdictional requirements.

11. Venue is proper under section 15.002 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code because all or a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in Dallas County. Additionally, and in the alternative, venue is proper under sections 15.002 and 15.005 of the Texas Civil Practice & Remedies Code because the principal office of First Baptist is in Dallas County.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

12. D.R. is 16 years old as of the date this Petition is filed. D.R. is the son of Plaintiff and Crystal Ridlon. D.R. and his family began attending church services at First Baptist in approximately November 2021.

13. D.R. completed the eighth grade in 2022. While he was in eighth grade, he began singing in the student worship choir at First Baptist.

14. In May of 2022, D.R. was invited to go on a student worship mission trip to San Diego, California (the "San Diego Mission Trip"). A true and correct copy of the flyer entitled "Student Worship Mission Trip: San Diego" which contains information about that trip is attached as Exhibit 1 and incorporated herein by reference.

15. Prior to the San Diego Mission Trip, Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon meet with Davin Hutchinson ("Hutchinson"), who at all relevant times was employed by First

Baptist as Associate Student Minister of Worship. Hutchinson was the staff member at First Baptist in charge of the San Diego Mission Trip. The purpose of the meeting was for Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon to obtain information related to D.R.'s safety on the San Diego Mission Trip.

16. At the meeting, Hutchinson assured Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that the San Diego Mission Trip would be attended by a relatively small number of students who had been selected and invited by First Baptist. Further, they were informed that the trip participants would be supervised by "hand-picked" leaders and that those leaders would protect the students on the trip. Hutchinson told Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that on the San Diego Mission Trip First Baptist would implement and enforce strict rules of conduct for youth participants, including enforced curfews, and that First Baptist would ensure that the students would be supervised and protected.

17. Relying on Hutchinson's statements, Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon agreed to allow D.R. to go on the San Diego Mission Trip.

18. Prior to the San Diego Mission Trip, First Baptist sponsored a youth "Adventure Camp" in Santa Fe, New Mexico from June 20 – 24, 2022. Relying on the assurances they had received from Hutchinson regarding safety protocols for the San Diego Mission Trip, Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon allowed D.R. to attend the Adventure Camp.

19. On June 22 at 2:00 a.m., Mrs. Ridlon received a phone call from a police officer in New Mexico who asked if Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon wanted to press criminal charges against a First Baptist student on the Adventure Camp trip who had held a

knife to D.R.'s throat while threatening him. Plaintiff immediately called D.R. to check on his welfare and remained in frequent contact with D.R. throughout the remainder of the trip.

20. Later, Hutchinson and Ryan Sadler ("Sadler") called Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon about the attack on D.R. At all relevant times Sadler was employed by First Baptist as Minister of Evangelism. In this call, neither Hutchinson nor Sadler offered any apology nor inquired about D.R.'s condition. Rather, Hutchinson and Sadler focused on discouraging Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon from pressing criminal charges against the student who had threatened D.R. with a deadly weapon. Hutchinson and Sadler told Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that First Baptist was "taking the situation seriously."

21. As a result of the assault on D.R. in New Mexico, Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon were reluctant to allow D.R. to go on the San Diego Mission Trip. Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon had multiple communications with Hutchinson and Sadler concerning the safety protocols for the San Diego Mission Trip. Hutchinson and Sadler assured Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that First Baptist took the safety and supervision of the students in their care very seriously. Additionally, Hutchinson and Sadler assured Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that First Baptist took the safety and supervision of the students on trips sponsored by First Baptist very seriously. Hutchinson and Sadler told Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that the students on the San Diego Mission Trip would not be the same as those at the Adventure Camp and that the San Diego Mission Trip participants would be a "more solid set of teens" because only "teen leaders" had been

invited on the trip by First Baptist Church. Hutchinson and Sadler also told Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that the students on the San Diego Mission Trip would be more closely supervised than those on the New Mexico Adventure Camp trip because there would be more leaders and fewer students on the San Diego Mission Trip.

22. All the acts, omissions, and statements of Hutchinson and Sadler alleged herein were taken, occurred, or made by Hutchinson or Sadler in the course and scope of their employment by First Baptist and as agents of First Baptist acting within the scope of their agency.

23. Once again, based on the repeated assurances of First Baptist, and its agents Hutchinson and Sadler, Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon allowed D.R. to go on the San Diego Mission Trip.

24. Prior to the San Diego Mission Trip, First Baptist assigned adults to supervise groups of identified students. John Terrill and Chase Wallace were assigned to supervise the eighth-grade boys, including D.R.

25. On or about July 9, 2022, D.R. and the rest of the student worship choir on the San Diego Mission Trip arrived at the Marriott San Diego Mission Bay Resort.

26. Upon arrival in San Diego, the adult leaders on the San Diego Mission Trip assigned the seven eighth-grade boys to ride in a van with the four tenth-grade boys when the group was traveling around San Diego. This was the first time that D.R. had meet any of the tenth-grade boys.

27. On or about the evening of July 12, 2022, a First Baptist volunteer adult leader on the San Diego Mission Trip was called by hotel staff to the room of P.W., a

tenth-grade boy who First Baptist had invited on the San Diego Mission Trip. Loud noises had been reported coming from the room to hotel staff . When the volunteer adult leader arrived at P.W.'s room, three seventh-grade boys were lying on the floor shaking and in distress. The volunteer adult leader sent the seventh-grade boys back to their room and reported the incident to Hutchinson. On information and belief, First Baptist took no action in response to this event.

28. On the final evening the group would be in San Diego, Thad Taylor ("Taylor"), the Associate Minister to high school students for First Baptist, told the students "There's really no curfew tonight!" The only requirements for the students that night were to stay on the hotel property and to refrain from being in the hotel rooms occupied by the opposite sex.

29. That evening P.W. invited D.R. to the room P.W. shared with three other tenth-grade boys. D.R. and other eighth-grade boys went to the tenth-grade boys' room at approximately 11:00 p.m. When D.R. arrived at P.W.'s room, Taylor was present in the room talking to four tenth-grade boys, P.W., E.S., D.S., and C.X.

30. After about 30 minutes, Taylor left. Before leaving Taylor told D.R. "You should go to your room." C.X., D.S. and P.W. told Taylor that they wanted D.R. to stay. Taylor allowed D.R. to stay. This was the last time D.R. had any adult supervision that night.

31. At that point one of the boys in the room accessed Netflix and played an R-rated movie called *365*.¹

¹ *365* has received much criticism for its glorification of the mafia and heavy use of sex sequences that includes scenes of sexual violence.

32. Soon after the movie ended, P.W. sexually abused D.R. The abuse occurred in the hotel room occupied by C.X., P.W., and another youth. C.X. was in the room at the time of the abuse and witnessed the abuse.

33. Around 1:00 a.m., after being sexually abused by P.W., D.R. returned to his room.

34. The next morning, C.X., who had witnessed the abuse, told D.R. and the other eighth-grade boys: “No one needs to know what happened yesterday.”

35. Because D.R. did not want to get into trouble or to be shamed or threatened by the older boys, D.R. did not report the abuse.

36. Approximately two months after the San Diego Mission Trip, Mrs. Ridlon received a call from Hutchinson who told her that he needed to speak with her, Plaintiff, and D.R. as soon as possible about “an incident that happened on the mission trip.” Hutchinson refused to provide any other information and told Mrs. Ridlon that he had “been given strict orders not to say anything.”

37. When Plaintiff, Mrs. Ridlon, and D.R. arrived at the church for the meeting, they were met at the door by Hutchinson and Sadler, who then escorted them to a room. Two men neither Plaintiff nor Mrs. Ridlon had ever met were in the room. When Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon asked these men what the meeting was about, these men also said that they were under “strict orders” not to tell Plaintiff or Mrs. Ridlon anything about the meeting. Plaintiff, Mrs. Ridlon, and D.R. were taken into another room in which they were meet by Lynch and Whitehorn.

38. Hutchinson then told Plaintiff, Mrs. Ridlon, and D.R. that D.R. had been one of five boys who had watched pornography and masturbated in the hotel room on the San Diego Mission Trip.

39. Without hearing from D.R., Lynch began lecturing D.R., telling him that what D.R. had done was “not okay” and was against the rules of First Baptist Church. Finally, Lynch looked at D.R., who was by this point very shamed and afraid, asked D.R. what had happened., and told D.R. “The truth will set you free.”

40. Without giving D.R. a chance to respond, Lynch began trying to intimidate, frighten, and confuse D.R. Lynch’s questions and comments focused on the details of the “pornography,” which in fact had been an R-rated movie, and where D.R. had ejaculated.

41. At that point Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon took D.R. from the room so that he could calm down.

42. After a few minutes, D.R. was able to return to the room and report the full extent of the abuse by P.W.

43. Mrs. Ridlon asked Lynch if he intended to report the abuse to law enforcement. Lynch stated that he would later that day.

44. At the end of the meeting, Lynch told D.R. that what D.R. had done was wrong and that D.R. needed forgiveness from First Baptist.

45. A few days after the meeting with Lynch and Whitehorn, Mrs. Ridlon called Sadler to ask about D.R.’s return to church property. It was Mrs. Ridlon’s understanding that P.W. would not be allowed on church property. Later that day

Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon received a call from Lynch who told them that “all we have right now are allegations” and that he had been told that no force was used by P.W. Lynch told Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that First Baptist’s “plan” was for the leaders who already knew about the abuse to try to keep P.W. and D.R. away from each other during youth meetings and activities. Lynch further stated that no other leaders would be told about D.R.’s report of abuse.

46. From this point forward, Lynch argued with Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon whenever they spoke and attempted to intimidate and bully them into silence.

47. P.W.’s abuse of D.R. was not the first time that P.W. had abused a younger boy on a First Baptist youth trip. Before the San Diego Mission Trip was planned and the students who went on the trip were invited, P.W. had abused another boy on a different First Baptist youth trip. The victim of that prior abuse had reported the abuse to his mother who in turn reported the abuse to First Baptist. At some point in the reporting process Hutchinson became involved and convinced the victim’s mother not to report the abuse to higher authorities at First Baptist. The prior victim’s mother complied, but First Baptist knew that P.W. had a history of abusing younger boys before selecting P.W. for the San Diego Mission Trip.

48. Additionally, First Baptist knew that P.W., an older youth with a history of sexual abusing younger boys, would be on the San Diego Mission Trip when First Baptist was assuring Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon that D.R. would be safe on the trip.

49. On or about September 22, 2022, Plaintiff and Mrs. Ridlon were informed by Jeff Adams, who is employed by First Baptist as “Minister to Adult 3,

Minister to Men,” that First Baptist would no longer allow D.R. to attend youth events without his parents and that D.R. had to be with his parents at all times while on church property.

50. Shortly thereafter, Plaintiff asked for a copy of First Baptist’s sex abuse policy. Ben Lovvorn, First Baptist’s Executive Pastor, refused to provide Plaintiff with any written policy but told Plaintiff that First Baptist had no policy to report sex abuse of minors.

51. On information and belief, First Baptist never reported the abuse of D.R. to law enforcement.

**CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
COUNT ONE – NEGLIGENCE
(Against First Baptist)**

52. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in all previous paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.

53. The elements of a claim for negligence are: (1) a legal duty, (2) breach of that duty, and (3) damages proximately caused by the breach.

54. First Baptist had a duty to prevent P.W. from abusing D.R. for at least three reasons, all of which are pleaded in the alternative.

55. First, a duty to control a third-party exists “when a special relationship exists between the actor and the third person which imposes a duty upon the actor to control the third person’s conduct.” *Greater Hous. Transp. Co. v. Phillips*, 801 S.W.2d 523, 525 (Tex. 1990). A parent-child relationship is one such special relationship. *Id.*

56. At all relevant times, a special relationship existed between First Baptist and P.W. because First Baptist had assumed the duty to act *in loco parentis* of P.W. while P.W. was on the San Diego Mission Trip.

57. Second, Texas courts impose a duty to control the actions of a third person when, in justice, the duty should be imposed based on the unique facts of a case. In making this determination, courts consider “the foreseeability and likelihood of injury weighed against the social utility of the actor’s conduct, the magnitude of the burden of guarding against the injury, and the consequences of placing the burden on the defendant.” *Graff v. Beard*, 858 S.W.2d 918, 920 (Tex. 1993) (quoting *Greater Hous. Transp. Co.*, 801 S.W.2d at 525). Other factors considered by courts in deciding questions of duty include “whether one party has superior knowledge of the risk, and whether a right to control the actor whose conduct precipitated the harm exists.” *Id.* at 920.

58. On the facts of this case, the law imposed a duty on First Baptist to control the actions of P.W.

59. First Baptist breached its duty to control P.W. by rescinding almost all rules for conduct on the last night of the San Diego Mission Trip and by failing to supervise P.W. when he was around D.W. First Baptist’s breach of its duty to control P.W. on the San Diego Trip constitutes negligence.

60. Third, First Baptist was negligent in inviting P.W. on the San Diego Mission Trip. First Baptist knew, or reasonably should have known, that P.W. was a

threat to the younger boys on the San Diego Mission Trip and invited him on the trip, nonetheless.

61. Additionally, and in the alternative, First Baptist was negligent in the selection and training of the First Baptist staff members and volunteer adult leaders invited to attend the San Diego Mission Trip as supervisors.

62. First Baptist's negligence proximately caused the sexual abuse of D.R. and all damages suffered by D.R. as a result of that abuse.

**COUNT TWO – INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS
(Against First Baptist and Lynch)**

63. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in all previous paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.

64. The elements of a claim for intentional infliction of emotional distress are: (1) the defendant acted intentionally or recklessly; (2) its conduct was extreme and outrageous; (3) its actions caused the plaintiff emotional distress; and (4) the emotional distress was severe.

65. After learning that P.W. had sexually abused D.R., First Baptist sought to cover up the event and to intimidate D.R. into recanting or at least remaining silent about the attack.

66. To accomplish this objective, First Baptist, acting through Lynch, intentionally bullied, intimidated, and shamed D.R.

67. The conduct of First Baptist and Lynch in bullying, intimidating, and shaming D.R., the victim of a sexual abuse, was extreme and outrageous.

68. D.R. suffered and continues to suffer severe emotional distress caused by the extreme and outrageous conduct of First Baptist and Lynch.

**COUNT THREE – CIVIL CONSPIRACY
(Against First Baptist, Whitehorn, and Lynch)**

69. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in all previous paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.

70. The elements of a civil conspiracy are (1) two or more persons, (2) an object to be accomplished, (3) a meeting of the minds on the object or course of action, (4) one or more unlawful, overt acts, and (5) damages as the proximate result. *Agar Corp., Inc. v. Electro Circuits Int’l, LLC*, 580 S.W.3d 136, 141 (Tex. 2019).

71. After learning that P.W. had sexually abused D.R., First Baptist, Whitehorn, and Lynch formed a civil conspiracy to silence D.R. and cover up the abuse on D.R.

72. To accomplish these agreed upon objectives, Lynch intentionally inflicted emotional distress on D.R. as alleged above.

73. As alleged above, D.R. suffered severe emotional distress as a result of unlawful, overt actions of Lynch taken in furtherance of the conspiracy.

74. Each of First Baptist, Lynch, and Whitehorn are jointly and severally liable for the damages to D.R. caused by unlawful actions taken in furtherance of the conspiracy.

**COMPENSATORY DAMAGES
(Sought from all Defendants)**

75. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in all previous paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.

76. As a proximate cause of the torts alleged above, D.R. has suffered compensatory damages in the form on past and future emotional distress and past and future medical expenses.

**EXEMPLARY DAMAGES
(Sought from all Defendants)**

77. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in all previous paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.

78. As alleged above, the harm to D.R. resulted from the malice and/or gross negligence, (as those terms are defined in Section 41.001 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code) of each of the Defendants. Accordingly, Plaintiff seeks recovery of exemplary damages from each Defendant as allowed under Chapter 41 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.

79. The conduct of First Baptist which is the basis of the claims against First Baptist is described as a felony under one or more of the sections of the Penal Code set forth in Section 41.008(c) of the Texas Civil Practices and Remedies Code was committed knowingly or intentionally. As such, the limitation on the amount of recovery in Section 41.008 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code does not apply to Plaintiffs' claim for exemplary damages.

**INAPPLICABILITY OF THE CHARITABLE IMMUNITY
AND LIABILITY ACT OF 1987**

80. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations in all previous paragraphs of this Petition as if fully set forth herein.

81. Sections 84.005 and 84.006 of the Charitable Immunity and Liability Act of 1987 (Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code sections 84.001 through 84.008) (the “Act”) are not applicable because First Baptist does and did not have liability insurance coverage in the amounts required by section 87.007(g) of the Act.

82. Additionally, and in the alternative, under section 84.007(a), the Act does not apply because the acts and omissions giving rise to this action were intentional, willfully negligent, or done with conscious indifference or reckless disregard for the safety of others.

RULE 193.7 NOTICE

83. All documents produced by Defendants in response to written discovery served herein are authenticated for use at any pretrial proceeding and trial under Rule 193.7 of the Texas Civil Procedure and Plaintiffs intend to use all such documents as allowed under that Rule.

JURY DEMAND

84. Plaintiff demands a jury trial and tender the appropriate fee herewith.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Plaintiff respectfully prays that First Baptist, Lynch, and Whitehorn be cited to appear and answer herein, for money

judgment for the damages to D.R. as pleaded herein, for costs of court, and for all other just relief, at law or in equity, to which he may be justly entitled.

Dated: November 11, 2024.

/s/ Keith L. Langston

Keith L. Langston

Texas Bar No. 24036280

klangston@brusterpllc.com

John C. Hull

Texas Bar No. 24050791

jhull@brusterpllc.com

T. Wesley Holmes

Texas Bar No. 09908495

wholmes@brusterpllc.com

BRUSTER PLLC

12222 Merit Drive, Suite 1030

Dallas, Texas 75251

Telephone: (817) 601-9564

Facsimile: (817) 796-2929

Basyle (Boz) Tchividjian

Florida Bar No. 0985007

boz@bozlawpa.com

BOZLAW P.A.

112 West New York Avenue

Suite 207

DeLand, Florida 32720

Telephone: (386) 682-5540

Application for Pro Hac Vice Pending

Amy E. Stier

Texas Bar No. 24083909

amy@thestiergroup.com

The Stier Group, PLLC

8901 Tehama Ridge Pkwy.

Suite 127, Box #195

Fort Worth, Texas 76177

Telephone: (682) 391-5043

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFF

EXHIBIT 1

 STUDENT WORSHIP MISSION TRIP

SAN DIEGO

JULY 9-15, 2022





GUIDELINES

Any serious infraction of rules or refusal to be positive and cooperative will result in loss of privileges or trip home at the expense of the student's parents.

BE POSITIVE!

You are not allowed to bring or purchase any of the following: alcoholic beverages, tobacco products, firearms, knives, drugs, fireworks, balloons, balloon launchers, or inappropriate t-shirts.

Guys are not allowed into girls' rooms and vice versa.

No PDA (Public or Private Display of Affection)

You must remain in your assigned groups with your group leader at all times unless stated otherwise.

DO NOT leave your leader!

Absolutely no one is allowed out of his or her room after curfew.

You may not participate in any of the following: temporary or permanent tattooing, haircuts or coloring, and ear/body piercing.

Use your manners (e.g. please, thank you, sir/ma'am, etc.)

Nothing may be charged to your hotel room, and no use of hotel phone.

When you receive a wake-up call / when your alarm goes off, be sure to get up and get ready right away.

MOST IMPORTANTLY!

You are a representation of our choir, our church, and our God. Smile wide and love big. Leave an impression!

FOR EMERGENCIES ONLY

DAVIN HUTCHINSON 423.310.5002

RYAN SADLER 214.914.5194

THAD TAYLOR 904.508.3328

PACKING LIST & DRESS CODE

WE WILL PROVIDE:

Devotion books
3 t-shirts: yellow, blue, & black

WHAT SHOULD I PACK?

Bible, pen, toiletries, modest clothing and undergarments for 6 days (plan to shower mid-day on mission work days), sunscreen, sunglasses, hat, bug spray, drawstring bag, required prescribed medication, tie-dye shirt

For swimming: one-piece suit for girls (no cut-outs); swim trunks for guys

HOW MANY BAGS CAN I BRING?

1 backpack
1 bag or suitcase (not to exceed 50 lbs.)
(For day trips, you may want to pack a drawstring bag in your suitcase for water bottle, sunscreen and t-shirt)

WHAT DO I DO WITH MY LUGGAGE TAGS?

Attach 1 to your backpack and 1 on your suitcase

WHAT NOT TO PACK:

Sleeveless/low-cut shirts, shirts that reveal your back, tanks, tankinis, 1-piece swimsuits with cutouts, leggings, yoga pants, jewelry

SHOULD I BRING MONEY?

There will be opportunities to purchase souvenirs and snacks, however this is at your discretion.
Minimum of \$40 for food at the airport on Saturday and Friday.

SATURDAY	Long pants with Yellow T-Shirt
SUNDAY	Solid color shirt with collar (non-sheer material) and blue or black jeans
MONDAY	Khakis/Jeans (shorts, pants, or skirts) with Blue t-shirt
TUESDAY	Khakis/Jeans (shorts, pants, or skirts) with Black t-shirt
WEDNESDAY	Work day, closed toed sneakers, work shoes or boots, Khakis/Jeans with tie die t-shirt
THURSDAY	Appropriate shorts and blue t-shirts
FRIDAY	Khakis/Jeans with Yellow T-Shirt

GROUPS



IMPORTANT ADDRESS

Marriot Mission Bay
8757 Rio San Diego Dr
San Diego, CA 92108

ROOMING LIST

ROOM 1



ROOM 2



ROOM 3



ROOM 4




ROOM 5



ROOM 6



ROOM 7



ROOM 8



ROOM 9



ROOM 10




ROOM 11



ROOM 12



ROOM 13




ROOM 14



ROOM 15



ROOM 16



ROOM 17



ROOM 18



DAILY ITINERARIES

SATURDAY, JULY 9

ATTIRE: LONG PANTS WITH YELLOW T-SHIRT

7:15am	Southwest Flight DAL OAK (WN3818)
10:30am	Southwest Flight OAK SAN (WN 3882) Students purchase snack in airport (\$20)
12:00pm	Arrive San Diego
1:30pm	Lunch – near Airport
3:00pm	Hotel Check-in Marriot Mission Valley
5:00pm	Setup and First Baptist Pacific Beach
6:30pm	Dinner at FBPC
8:00pm	Small Group Devotions
10:00pm	Lights Out Leader Meeting

Everyone showers at night

DAILY ITINERARIES

SUNDAY, JULY 10

ATTIRE: SOLID COLOR SHIRT WITH COLLAR & BLUE OR BLACK JEANS

7:00am	Wake Up
8:00am	Breakfast & Devotion FBPC
9:00am	Rehearsal
10:30am	Choir Performance
12:30pm	Group Lunch
3:00pm	Haitian Baptist Church Performance
5:30pm	Return To Hotel
6:30pm	Dinner w/Leader (restaurants near hotel)
8:00pm	Devotions
10:00pm	Lights Out Leader Meeting

Everyone showers at night

DAILY ITINERARIES

MONDAY, JULY 11

ATTIRE: KHAKIS/ JEANS & BLUE T-SHIRT

7:00am	Wake Up
8:30am	Breakfast & Devotions FBPC
10:00am	Missions Activity (Mike Haskins – Urban Missions)
12:00pm	Lunch
1:00pm	Return to Hotel
2:00pm	California Sightseeing Activity Option
5:00pm	Return to Hotel
6:30pm	Dinner w/Leaders
8:00pm	Small Group Devotions
10:00pm	Lights Out Leader Meeting

Everyone showers at night

DAILY ITINERARIES

TUESDAY, JULY 12

ATTIRE: KHAKIS/JEANS & BLACK T-SHIRT

7:00am	Wake Up
8:30am	Breakfast & Devotions FBPC
10:00am	Missions Activity First Baptist Pacific Beach VBS Prep Day (Group 1)
	Haitian Church Clothing & Food Distribution (group 2)
12:00pm	Sack Lunch
1:00pm	VBS Prep/Clothing and Food Distribution Cont'd
3:00pm	Return To Hotel
5:00pm	We See You SD Homeless Shelter \ Luv Em Up Disabilities Ministry Performance (Mike Haskins - Urban Missions New Vision Church)
6:30pm	Dinner w/Leaders
8:00pm	Small Group Devotions
10:00pm	Lights Out Leader Meeting

Everyone showers at night

DAILY ITINERARIES

WEDNESDAY, JULY 13

ATTIRE: WORK DAY SHOES WITH KHAKIS/ JEANS & TIE DYE T-SHIRT

7:00am	Wake Up
8:30am	Breakfast and Devotions @ FBPC
10:00am	Beautification project, food distribution, and service projects
1:00pm	Lunch
2:30pm	River Front Park Performance
4:30pm	Dinner w/Leaders
6:00pm	Valley Vista Apartments Performance (Mike Haskins- Urban Missions)
8:00pm	Small Group Devotions
10:00pm	Lights Out Leader Meeting

Everyone showers at night

DAILY ITINERARIES

THURSDAY, JULY 14

ATTIRE: APPROPRIATE SHORTS & BLUE T-SHIRTS

7:00am	Get Dressed
8:30am	Breakfast and Devotions @ FBPC
10:00am	San Diego Zoo
12:00pm	Lunch at Zoo
2:30pm	<i>Senior Excursion</i>
3:00pm	Return To Hotel/Devotions
5:30pm	Group Dinner
8:00pm	Pack
10:00pm	Lights Outs Leader Meeting

Everyone showers at night

DAILY ITINERARIES

FRIDAY, JULY 15

ATTIRE: KHAKIS/JEANS & YELLOW T-SHIRT

7:30am	Depart Hotel
8:00am	Arrive Airport Breakfast <i>Students purchase breakfast (\$20)</i>
9:50pm	Flight (WN2963) Depart San Diego
2:30pm	Arrive in Dallas Love Field

OTHER INFORMATION

HOMECOMING CONCERT

SUNDAY, JULY 17
5:30PM | HISTORIC SANCTUARY

LEADERS AND STUDENTS ATTENDANCE IS REQUIRED

ATTIRE:

WEAR BLACK T-SHIRTS & BLACK OR BLUE
JEANS (NO HOLES) KNEE LENGTH JEAN SKIRT

DAILY UPDATES WILL BE POSTED AT

  @firstdallasstudentworship



