#### CAUSE NO. DC-25-09684

CINDY CLEMISHIRE &	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF
JERRY LEE CLEMISHIRE	§	
Plaintiffs	§	
	§	
VS.	§	DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS
	§	
GATEWAY CHURCH; ROBERT	§	
MORRIS; THOMAS H. MILLER,	§	
JR.; JOHN D. WILLBANKS, III;	§	
KEVIN GROVE; JEREMY	§	
CARRASCO; KENNETH W.	§	
FAMBRO, II; GAYLAND	§	
LAWSHE; DANE MINOR;	§	
LAWRENCE SWICEGOOD;	§	
STEVE DULIN; and ROBERT	§	
MORRIS EVANGELISTIC	§	
ASSOCIATION INC.,	§	
Defendants	8	269TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

### **DEFENDANT THOMAS H. MILLER, JR'S ORIGINAL ANSWER**

Defendant Thomas H. Miller Jr. ("Defendant") files his Original Answer.

## I. GENERAL DENIAL

1. Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, Defendant generally denies the allegations contained in Plaintiff's Original Petition, including any amendments or supplements, and demands strict proof for each element of liability and damages by the applicable standards of proof.

#### II. DEFENDANT OBJECTS TO THE APPLICATION OF OKLAHOMA LAW

2. Defendant objects to the application of Oklahoma Law for any part of this suit as the actions that are the basis of this suit took place in Texas, and therefore Oklahoma has no interest. The allegations are based on statements made in Texas against Texas-based Defendants. Therefore, Oklahoma lacks any significant relationship to this case.

# III. <u>DEFENSES, INCLUDING AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES</u>

- 3. The Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief should be granted under Texas law.
- 4. A defendant cannot be liable for publishing a true statement unless he omits or juxtaposes facts in a way that causes the statement to create a false impression. See Dallas Morning News, Inc. v. Hall, 579 S.W.3d 370, 380 (Tex.2019); Turner v. KTRK TV, Inc., 38 S.W.3d 103, 115 (Tex.2000); Johnson v. Phillips, 526 S.W.3d 529, 535 (Tex.App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 2017, pet. denied).
- 5. Defendant asserts common law qualified privilege. To the extent Defendant made any statements, the statements: (1) were made without actual malice (*i.e.*, in good faith), (2) concerned a subject matter that is of sufficient interest to the author and was in reference to a duty the author owed, and (3) was communicated to another party having a corresponding interest. *San Antonio Credit Un. v. O'Connor*, 115 S.W.3d 82, 99 (Tex.App.—San Antonio 2003, pet. denied); *Grant v. Stop-N-Go Mkt.*, 994 S.W.2d 867, 874 (Tex.App.—Houston [1st Dist.] 1999, no pet.); *see Cain v. Hearst Corp.*, 878 S.W.2d 577, 582 (Tex.1994); *Doe v. Cruz*, 683 S.W.3d 475, 498 (Tex.App.—San Antonio 2023, no pet.). Specifically the statements were made in the course of an investigation after a report of an employee wrongdoing and updating the congregation.

#### IV. RULE 193.7 NOTICE

Pursuant to Texas Rule of Civil Procedure 193.7, Defendant notifies all parties that any and all documents produced during discovery may be used at any pre-trial proceeding and/or trial without the necessity of authenticating the documents.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Defendant Thomas Miller, prays that Plaintiffs take nothing by way of their suit, that Defendant, recover all costs, and for such other and further relief, both at law and in equity, to which Defendant may be justly entitled...

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Peter Kerr

Peter Kerr

Texas Bar No. 24076478

peter@hermes-law.com

Matthew B. Sayegh

Texas Bar No. 24104359

matthew@hermes-law.com

Hermes Law, P.C.

c/o Ross Tower

500 North Akard Street

**Suite 2050** 

Dallas, TX 75201

Telephone: (214) 749-6800 Facsimile: (214) 749-6801

Attorneys for Defendant

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The undersigned certifies that on August 4, 2025, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was forwarded via e-service to all counsel of record pursuant to the applicable Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

/s/ Peter Kerr

Peter Kerr

# **Automated Certificate of eService**

This automated certificate of service was created by the efiling system. The filer served this document via email generated by the efiling system on the date and to the persons listed below. The rules governing certificates of service have not changed. Filers must still provide a certificate of service that complies with all applicable rules.

Envelope ID: 103957980

Filing Code Description: Original Answer - General Denial

Filing Description: Defendants Original Answer

Status as of 8/6/2025 11:32 AM CST

#### **Case Contacts**

Name	BarNumber	Email	TimestampSubmitted	Status
Brian Hensley		bhensley@thompsoncoe.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Matthew Heape		mheape@fchclaw.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Velma Ellis		VEllis@thompsoncoe.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Meghan Mitchell		mmitchell@fchclaw.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Kim Ewalt		kewalt@fchclaw.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Monica Romero		mromero@thompsoncoe.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Mycal Dede		Mdede@thompsoncoe.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
RICHARD HARDY		RHARDY@FCHCLAW.COM	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Steven Grossman		sgrossman@hou-law.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Karen Bowden		kbowden@hou-law.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Todd Newman		tnewman@hou-law.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Jodee Rankin		jrankin@fchclaw.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Makayla Simonton		msimonton@fchclaw.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Gabriela Reveles		greveles@fchclaw.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Karla Quiñones		karla@hermes-law.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Hermes Law		efiling@hermes-law.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Matthew Sayegh		matthew@hermes-law.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT
Peter Kerr		peter@hermes-law.com	8/4/2025 4:52:04 PM	SENT